IOSR Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN)

www.iosrjen.org

ISSN (e): 2250-3021, ISSN (p): 2278-8719 Special Issue || September 2021 || PP 66-70 7th National Conference On Advancements in Communication, Computing and Electronics Technology-ACCET 2021

Email Spam Detection and Filtering Using Naïve Bayes Algorithm

Aparna Burhade KomalNagul Uttara Divte

Electronics and Telecommunication Telecommunication M.E.S.C.O.EM.E.S.C.O.EM.E.S.C.O.E

Prof.M.M.Dhanvijay

Electronics and Telecommunication Department M.E.S.C.O.E

Abstract-Many techniques have been proposed to combat the upsurge in spam. All the proposed techniques have the same target, trying to avoid the spam entering our inboxes. Spammers avoid the filter by different tricks and each of them needs to be analyzed to determine what facility the filters need to have for overcoming the tricks and not allowing spammers to full our inbox. Different tricks give rise to different techniques. This work surveys spam phenomena from all sides, containing definitions, spam tricks, anti spam techniques, data set, etc. Finally, we discus the data sets which researchers use in experimental evaluation of their articles to show the accuracy of their ideas. Machine learning methods of recent are being used to successfully detect and filter spam emails. We present a systematic review of some of the popular machine learning based email spam filtering approaches. Our review covers survey of the important concepts, attempts, efficiency, and the research trend in spam filtering. The preliminary discussion in the study background examines the applications of machine learning techniques to the email spam filtering process of the leading internet service providers (ISPs) like Gmail, Yahoo and Outlook emails spam filters

Keywords - Techniques, Emails, Spamfiltering, Machine Learning, Algorithms

I. INTRODUCTION

For sharing of important and official information, email is used as a default medium of communication. Most of the institutions and companies prefer to use emails over all other mediums as it is one of the cheapest, easy to use, easily accessible, most official and reliable way of sharing information. It is used widely as it also provides the confidentiality of the data shared. But with the pros also comes the cons, as many people misuse this reliable and easy. way of communication by sending unwanted and useless bulk messages for their own personal benefits. These unwanted emails affect the normal user to face the problems like flooding of the mail box with unwanted emails making it harder to look for the useful once, even sometimes one may skip through important and useful emails because of all these unwanted emails. So, this gives rise to a need of a strong email spam detector which can filter maximum amount of spam emails with a greater accuracy so that a genuine email does not get filtered as spam.

II. II.IMPLEMENTATION

The methodology that is used for the filtering method is machine learning techniques that divide by three phases. The methodology is used for the process of e-mail spam filtering based on Na ive Bayes algorithm Step 1:

Consider a random email from the ling spam dataset for experimentation.

Step 2:

The considered email is in raw form. To perform the feature extraction/selection and classification procedure, initially email is needed to pre-process. Pre-processing involves the steps of tokenization, stemming and stop word removal.

2.1. Initially, tokenize the email into individual keywords. Tokenization split each individual word into different tokens.

2.2. Remove the stop words from the obtained tokens.

2.3. Perform stemming on the tokens obtained from the previous step. Stemming process reduces the size of the word to its root word. For stemming, a predefined list of possible words with their respective stem words is considered.

2.3.1. For stemming, a list of suffix words is stored into an array with their respective root words.

Department of Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering, M. E. S. College of Engineering, Pune 66 / Page

2.3.2. Consider check_token = availability in considered array of root word 2.3.3. If suffix of check_token = true, stem the word to its respective root word from the list of arrays.

2.3.4. Else, there is no need of stemming. Word is already in its root word format. Move to the next token.

Step 3:

Apply Correlation based feature selection approach to select the useful feature words from the pre-processed data. Correlation based feature selection method only selects the feature set which are most related to the particular class. If f is the feature set with k number of features and c is number of classes then CFS can be applied as mentioned in Equation 2.

Where, rcf is the average of feature-class correlation, rcf is the average of feature-class correlation, rff is the average of feature-feature correlation.

Step 4:

Calculate the probability distribution of the tokens along with selected features using NB approach. The formulation for the probability distribution is presented in Equation 3.

Where, f is any feature vector set (f1, f2, f3,....fn) and y are the class variables with m possible outcomes (y1, y2, y3,....yn). P(y|x) stands for posterior probability, P(x|y) is any particular class on which P(y|x) is dependent. P(x) is evidence depending on the known feature variables, P(y) is the prior probability. Step 5:

Apply PSO approach to optimize the parameters of NB approach.

5.1. All the tokens are considered as the particles. Initially these particles randomly fly and search for the food sources in the form of the best feature match for tokens. Then search for the local and global solution.

5.2. The performance of each particle depends upon the similarity with the features that has to optimize.

5.3. Each particle flies over the n- dimensional outer search space and keep updating the following information: Xi – current position of particle

Pi – the personal best position of particle x

Vi - the current velocity of particle

5.4. The velocity updates in PSO can be calculated using the formula given below by Equation

(4)

Now, Vi is the new velocity. So, the position of the particle updates with the velocity as defined with Equation (5)

5.5. Update the positions for each particle and store the global best solutions.

Step 6:

Based on the evaluated feature similarity using PSO, classification of tokens is declared as spam ornon spam. Step 7:

Further, final classification is performed by evaluating the probability of spam or non-spam tokens in a sentence. 7.1. If the probability value of spam tokens is more, then email is considered as spam email. 7.2. Else, email is considered as non-spam email.

Step 8:

Store the email as spam or non-spam and repeat the process for all the emails.

III. RESULTS

Pre-processing

Pre processing includes:

First, converting the words to lower case, second, Tokenising the words, third, Removing all the stop words, fourth, stemming the words, fifth, removing punctuations, and lastly, removing the HTML tags.

After successfully training and test our data set we have implemented our Naive Bayes classifier and got results.

	Text Pre-Processing
In [42]:	nltk.download('punkt')
	<pre>[nltk_data] Downloading package punkt to [nltk_data] C:\User>\lenovo\AppData\Roaming\nltk_data [nltk_data] Package punkt is already up-to-date!</pre>
Out[42]:	True
In [43]:	nltk.download('stopwords')
	[nltk_data] Downloading package stopwords to [nltk_data] C:Users\lenvovAppDataNBoming\nltk_data [nltk_data] Package stopwords is already up-to-date!
Out[43]:	True
In [44]:	<pre>nltk.download('gutenberg') nltk.download('shakespeare')</pre>
	[nltk_data] Downloading package gutenberg to [nltk_data] C:UkersilanovOkopDetaNosanigviltk_data [nltk_data] Package gutenberg is already up-to-datei [nltk_data] Okunloading package shakespare to [nltk_data] C:UkersilenovOkopDetaNosaningviltk_data [nltk_data] Package shakespare is already up-to-date!
Out[44]:	True
	Tokenizing
In [45]:	<pre>msg = 'All work and no play makes Jack a dull boy.' word_tokenize(msg.lower())</pre>
Out[45]:	['all', 'work', 'and', 'no', 'play', 'makes', 'jack', 'a', 'dull', 'boy', '.']
	Removing Stop Words
In [46]:	<pre>stop_words = set(stopwords.words('english'))</pre>
500 (March 1997)	tune(stop words)
In [47]:	cype(acop_molos)

Training a Naïve Bayes Classifier

What is a Training data set?

We use training dataset and test dataset in supervised.

1) The purpose of training data set is to discover the productive relationship by using a model.

- 2) With training data set, the model can learn the behavior of the data and tweak itself.
- 3) The model is built based on the data it discovers in the training model

	View	Inse	rt	Cel	11	Ker	nel	W	idge	ts	Help											Т	rusted	P
+ 34 4	2 6	1	+	► F	Run		C	**	Ma	rkdown	1 10	- 6	-											
	5 rows ×	250	1 COI	umns	5																			
	Trair	in	g	the	e N	lai	ve	B	ay	es	Mo	del												
In [20]:	full_tra	nin_	data	.CA	TEGO	DRY.	ize																	
Out[20]:	4012																							
Tn [21]:	full tr	ain	data	. CA	TEGO	BY.	um	1																
Out[21]:	1246							/																
In [22]:	prob_spi print('I	Prob	ful	1_t ity	of	spar	a.C	ATEG	rob	.sum(_spam) / f	u11_t	rain_d	ata.C	ATEGO	RY.si	ze							
	Probabi	lity	of	spa	m 1:	. 0.	3105	6829	511	46560	6													
	Probability of spam 15 0.31056829511465606																							
									Total Number of Words / Tokens															
	Tota		lur	nb	er	of	N	/or	ds	s / T	ok	ens												
	Tota		lur	nb	er	of	N	/or	ds	s / 1	ok	ens												
In [23]:	Tota	in_	feat	nb	er s =	of ful:	-tr	/or	data	3 / 7 a.loc	[1, f	ens	rain_d	ata.c	olumn	s !=	CATE	GORY'	1					
In [23]: Out[23]:	Tota	ain_	feat feat	nb ure	er s = s.he	ful: ad(_tr	/or	dat	3 / 7 a.loc	ok [1, f	ens	rain_d	ata.c	olumr	s !=	CATE	GORY']					
In [23]: Out[23]:	Total	nin_ ain_ 0	feat feat	nb ure: ure: 3	er s = s .he	ful: rad(/or ain_ 8 9	dat.	3 / 7 a.loc 2490	Ok [:, f 2491	ens 11_t 2492	rain_d 2493	ata.c 2494	olumr 2495	2496	CATE 2497	GORY' 2498	2499					
In [23]: Out[23]:	Tota full_tro DOC_ID	Nain_ain_ 0	feat feat 1 2	nb ure ure 3	er s = s .he 4 .5	ful: ad(7 3	/or ain_ 8 9 0 0	dat.	3 / 7 a.loc 2490 0	Cok [:, f 2491 0	ens ull_tu 2492 0	rain_d 2493 0	ata.c 2494 0	olumr 2495 0	2496 0	'CATE 2497 0	GORY' 2498 0] 2499 0					
In [23]: Out[23]:	Total	0 0 7	feat feat 1 2 0 1 1 2	nb ture ture 3 2 0	er s = s . he 4 5 1 0	ful: ad(6	7 3	/or ain_ 8 9 0 0	dat:	3 / 7 a.loc 2490 0	Cok [:, f 2491 0 0	ens all_ta 2492 0 0	rain_d 2493 0 0	ata.c 2494 0 0	0 Lumr 2495 0 0	2496 0 0	'CATE 2497 0 0	GORY' 2498 0 0	2499 0 0					
In [23]: Out[23]:	Total full_tra full_tra DOC_ID 0 1 2	0 0 7 6	feat feat 1 2 0 1 1 2 1 1	nb ure ure 3 2 0 0	er s = s . he 4 5 1 0 1 0 1 0	ful: ad(6 0	7 3 1 1	/or ain_ 8 9 0 0 0 0	dat.	3 / 7 a.loc 2490 0 0	Cok [:, f 2491 0 0	ens all_ta 2492 0 0 0	2493 0 0	ata.c 2494 0 0	2495 0 0	2496 0 0	'CATE 2497 0 0	GORY' 2498 0 0 0	2499 0 0 0					
In [23]: Out[23]:	Total full_tra full_tra Doc_ID 0 1 2 3	0 0 7 6 6	feat feat 1 2 0 1 1 2 1 1 0 0	nb ure ure 3 2 0 2	er s.he 4 5 1 0 1 0 4 0	ful: ad(6 0 0	7 7 3 1 1 14	/or ain_ 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0	dati	a.loc 2490 0 0	Cok([:, f 2491 0 0 0	2492 0 0 0	2493 0 0 0	ata.c 2494 0 0 0 0	2495 0 0 0	2496 0 0 0	'CATE 2497 0 0 0	GORY' 2498 0 0 0	2499 0 0 0 0					
In [23]: Out[23]:	Tota full_tra full_tra DOC_ID 0 1 2 3 4	0 0 0 7 6 5	feat feat 1 2 0 1 1 2 1 1 0 0 1 2	nb cure 3 2 0 0 2 0	er s = 4 5 1 0 1 0 4 0 1 0	ful: ad(6 0 0 3	7 7 3 1 14 14	/or ain_ 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		a.loc 2490 0 0 1 0	2491 0 0 0 0	2492 0 0 0 0	rain_d 2493 0 0 0 0 0	ata.c 2494 0 0 0 0 0	2495 0 0 0 0 0	2496 0 0 0 0 0	'CATE 2497 0 0 0 0 0 0	GORY' 2498 0 0 0 0 0	2499 0 0 0 0 0					
In [23]: Out[23]:	Total full_tri DOC_ID 0 1 2 3 4 5 rows ×	0 0 7 6 6 5 250	feat feat 1 2 0 1 1 2 1 1 0 0 1 2 0 col	nb cure 3 2 0 2 0 2 0	er s = 4 5 1 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 1 0	01 full i 6 i 0 i 0 i 0 i 0 i 0 i 0 i 0 i 0 i 0 i 0	7 7 1 14 1	/or ain_ 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	dati	a.loc 2490 0 0 1 0	2491 0 0 0 0	2492 0 0 0 0	2493 0 0 0 0 0	2494 0 0 0 0 0 0	2495 0 0 0 0 0	2496 0 0 0 0 0	CATE 2497 0 0 0 0 0	GORY' 2498 0 0 0 0 0 0	2499 0 0 0 0 0					
In [23]: Out[23]: In [24]:	Total full_tri full_tri DOC_ID 0 1 2 3 4 5 rows × email_li	0 0 7 6 6 5 2500	feat feat 1 2 0 1 1 2 1 1 0 0 1 2 0 column ths	nb ure 3 2 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1	er s = 4 5 1 0 1 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	full full 6 6 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 7 3 1 1 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	/or ain_ 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	dati 	2490 0 0 1 0 sum(a	2491 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ens all_ta 2492 0 0 0 0 0	2493 0 0 0 0 0	2494 0 0 0 0 0	2495 0 0 0 0	2496 0 0 0 0	CATE 2497 0 0 0 0 0	2498 0 0 0 0 0	2499 0 0 0 0 0					
<pre>In [23]: Out[23]: In [24]: Out[24]:</pre>	Tota full_tr, full_tr, DOC_ID 0 1 2 3 4 5 rows × email_h; (4012,)	0 0 7 6 6 5 2500	feat feat 1 2 0 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 0 0 0 1 2 0 col	nb ure 3 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 4 fu	er s = 4 5 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	full full 6 6 0 0 0 3 0 3 0 0	7 7 3 1 14 1 1 -fe	/or ain_ 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	dat:	3 / 1 2490 0 0 1 0 5 um(a	COK([:, f 2491 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2492 0 0 0 0 0	2493 0 0 0 0	2494 0 0 0 0 0	2496 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2496 0 0 0 0 0	*CATE 2497 0 0 0 0 0	GORY' 2498 0 0 0 0 0	2499 0 0 0 0					
<pre>In [23]: Out[23]: In [24]: Out[24]: In [25]:</pre>	Tota full_tr; full_tr; DOC_ID 0 1 2 3 4 5 rows × email_l; (4012,) email_k;	0 0 7 6 5 250 engt	feat feat 1 2 0 1 1 2 1 1 0 0 1 2 0 col .hs.:	anb cure 3 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 5 5 5 1 5 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 5 1 0 1 0 4 0 1	full rad() 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 7 3 1 14 1 1 14	/or ain_ 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	data 	2490 0 0 1 0 sum(a	Cok([:, f 2491 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 xis=1	ens 2492 0 0 0 0 0 0	2493 0 0 0 0	ata.c 2494 0 0 0 0	2495 0 0 0 0 0	2496 0 0 0 0 0 0	2497 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2498 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2499					

Testing

1) Once the model is trained we use test data set to get tye accuracy of the model.

- 2) Since the model has not seen the test data set during the training phase, it gives us impartial results.
- 3) Therefore it is very important to keep test dataset separate from training dataset.

Jupyter	BE Project ESF - Training Last Checkpoint: 05/19/2021 (autosaved)	Logou
File Edit	View Insert Cell Kernel Widgets Help	Trusted Python 3
+ 3< 6	2 🖪 🛧 🔸 ⊨ Run 🔳 C 🗭 Markdown 🗸 📟	
Out[47]:	1.0	
	P(Token) - Probability that Token Occurs	
In [48]:	prob_tokens_all = full_train_features.sum(axis=0) / total_wc	
In [49]:	prob tokens all.sum()	
Out[49]:	1.0	
	Save the Trained Model	
In [50]:	np.savetxt(TOKEN_SPAM_PROB_FILE, prob_tokens_spam) np.savetxt(TOKEN_ANL_PROB_FILE, prob_tokens_nonspam) np.savetxt(TOKEN_ALL_PROB_FILE, proc_tokens_all)	
	Prepare the Test Data	
In [51]:	sparse_test_data.shape	
Out[51]:	(112601, 4)	
In [52]:	<pre>%%time full_test_data = make_full_matrix(sparse_test_data, nr_words=VOCAB_SIZE)</pre>	
	Wall time: 4.46 s	
In [53]:	<pre>X_test = full_test_data.loc[:, full_test_data.columns !- 'CATEGORY'] y_test = full_test_data.CATEGORY</pre>	
In [54]:	np.savetxt(TEST_TARGET_FILE, y_test) np.savetxt(TEST_FEATURE_MATRIX, X_test)	
In []:		

Data Visualisation

1)The data can be seen visualized as spam and ham on a graph.



Implementation

1)After successfully training and testing our data set we have implemented our Naive Bayes classifier and got results

📁 Jupyter	BE Project ESF-Implementation Last Checkpoint: 05/20/2021 (autosaved)	-	Logou
File Edit	View Insert Cell Kernel Widgets Help	usted	Python 3
12 + 3<	22 KL ★ ↓ ▶ Run ■ C → Code ✓ □		
In [24]:	<pre>precision_score(y_test, classifier.predict(X_test))</pre>		
Out[24]:	0.9850107066381156		
In [25]:	fi_score(y_test, classifier.predict(X_test))		
Out[25]:	0.9001956947162426		
In [26]:	<pre>example - ['get a credit card for free new!',</pre>	om a sp	ecially c
In [27]:	doc_term_matrix - vectorizer.transform(example)		
In [28]:	classifier.predict(doc_term_matrix)		
Out[28]:	array([1, 1, 0, 0, 0], dtype=int64)		
In [29]:	<pre>example1 = ['get a car for free!free!','can you come for lunch tomorrow?']</pre>		
In [30]:	<pre>doc_term_matrix = vectorizer.transform(example1)</pre>		
In [31]:	classifier.predict(doc_term_matrix)		
Out[31]:	<pre>array([1, 0], dtype=int64)</pre>		
In [32]:	example2 = ['lottery!lottery!you have hit a jackpot','get a chance to win a free vacation']		
In [33]:	<pre>doc_term_matrix = vectorizer.transform(example2)</pre>		
In [34]:	classifier.predict(doc_term_matrix)		
Out[34]:	array([1, 1], dtype=int64)		
In []:			

IV. CONCLUSION

E-mail spam filtering is an important issue in the network security and machine learning techniques; Naïıve Bayes classifier that used has a very important role in this process of filtering email spam. The quality of performance Naïıve Bayes classifier is also based on datasets that used. As can see, dataset that have fewer instances of e-mails and attributes can give good performance for Naïıve Bayes classifier. Naïıve Bayes classifier also can get highest precision that give highest percentage spam message manage to block if the dataset collect from single e-mail accounts. So we can see, why performance of Naïıve Bayes classifier is good when used SPAMBASE dataset. There are many models of spam classification and filtration. Many of them are based on using single classifier. But in recent times,the use of multiple classifiers is advocated and research is done by using a combination of statistical techniques during different phase of the spam filtration. In future, research can be conducted to further improving the classification accuracy.

REFERENCES

- [1]. https://in.springboard.com/blog/email-spam-filtering-using-naive-bayes-classifier/
- [2]. https://www.ijsdr.org/papers/IJSDR1906001.pdf
- [3]. https://towardsdatascience.com/na%C3%AFve-bayes-spam-filter-from-scratch-12970ad3dae7
- [4]. https://medium.com/@ar3441/spam-filtering-using-naive-bayes-98a341224038